

# A Challenge to the Police, Press and Public of India

to show any departure from my duty in changing the existing law-&-order

1. I am a scientist.
2. It is my primary duty to discover and demonstrate new knowledge (see details in the Scientific Policy Resolution of the Govt of India—dated 4th March 1958).
3. I discovered that the state can be defied / disobeyed under the Constitution of India and so the existing law-&-order can be changed without violence, that is, by free speech.
4. This discovery can be demonstrated only under the Constitution of India.
5. I openly defied my disciplinary authority, district authorities and law courts including the Supreme Court of India. (My defiance is by free speech and correlated action and so its explanation covers a large number of publications—books, pamphlets, papers and leaflets.)
6. My disciplinary authority regarded my academic, scientific or constitutional conduct as misconduct and took disciplinary action against me.
7. That disciplinary action recoiled / rebounded / boomeranged and created a case of law-&-order change. (Technically speaking, I have thrown the burden of proof on the disciplinary authority. I have publicly shown that my scientific conduct was misconceived as misconduct.) The disciplinary authority is left with no choice but to accept the change of law-&-order.
8. The police officers who maintain law-&-order cannot defy the Constitution of India and cooperate with the disciplinary authority in maintaining the status quo. (Read more in “**Our freedom to use violent means against the police to defend the Constitution of India**”).

## क्या मैंने अपना कर्तव्य पालन नहीं किया है ?

१. मैं एक वैज्ञानिक हूँ ।
२. नये ज्ञान को खोजना और उसका जन प्रदर्शन करना मेरा वैज्ञानिक या संवैधानिक कर्तव्य है ।
३. मैंने यह खोजा, पाया या सोचा कि राज्य की अवज्ञा संविधान के अन्तर्गत करके वर्तमान विधि-व्यवस्था बदली जा सकती है; इसके लिए हिंसा करने की कोई आवश्यकता नहीं है ।
४. मुझे इस खोज का अब जन प्रदर्शन करना है जो भारतीय संविधान के अन्तर्गत ही संभव है ।
५. वर्तमान प्रशासन की अवज्ञा कर जब उसके ऊपर सबूत-भार डाल देते हैं तो वर्तमान प्रशासन को विधि-व्यवस्था परिवर्तन मान लेना है । शंका होने पर उसका सत्यापन सर्वोच्च न्यायालय से करना है । उसे दूसरा कोई विकल्प नहीं है । अगर वह चुप बैठकर हिंसा भड़काता है तो पुलिस को उसके ऊपर दबाव देना है और विधि-व्यवस्था बदलाव वक्त हिंसा रोकना है ।
६. पुलिस प्रशासन को संविधान के विरुद्ध जाने की शक्ति (पावर) नहीं है । सही बात यह है कि पुलिस प्रशासन को संवैधानिक बदलाव का आदर करना है और हिंसा रोकने में तत्परता दिखाना है ।
७. यही मूलमंत्र है कानूनी या अहिंसक क्रांति का, जिसका प्रयोग जनता के समक्ष किया गया है और आईएसएम यूनिवर्सिटी में विधि-व्यवस्था बदली गयी है । विशेष जानकारी अन्य लेखों से प्राप्त करें और मेरी अतिविशाल संवैधानिक शक्ति को समझने की कोशिश करें जो अदम्य है, सभी राज्य अधिकारियों से ऊपर है । अखिर विधि का गहन ज्ञान ही तो संवैधानिक शक्ति है ।



## Our freedom to use violent means against the police to defend the Constitution of India



1. *Friends*, I have publicly announced that, in the ISM University, Dhanbad, I have created a constitutional revolution (law-&-order change) in the discharge of my academic duties, scientific research. It is, therefore, binding on the disciplinary authority to verify its validity from the competent expert (Supreme Court) otherwise accept it. The disciplinary authority cannot behave like a politician and leave it to the public to judge.
2. Note that scientific research is a technical matter and so it is not bound by public opinion. In fact, the purpose of scientific research is to depart from public opinion, to dismiss public opinion and go ahead. Every scientist is free to pursue his/her own ideas under the Constitution of India. Evidently, scientific research is not guided by the public vote but the principle, the fundamental law of the land.
3. The public have a wrong notion that they cannot use violent means against the will of the police. They do not know that the police are meant to defend the Constitution of India, not any thing else. If the police evade to do so, everyone of us is free to use violent means even against the police. It is the ignorant public who fear the police and endure police oppression.
4. As many of us have noticed, on getting even the slightest evidence of terrorism, anti-terrorism squads of the police pounce upon the accused and start questioning them. What is the motive behind it? It is to defend the Constitution of India and coerce the accused to be constitutional, not whimsical/irrational, in conduct in our constitutional democracy. How can the police go against the Constitution of India and maintain law-&-order is a question whose answer is quite obvious. Naturally, the Constitution of India has to be honoured both by the police and the public without taking any excuse. There should be no doubt that none can be rational without being constitutional in conduct and so the ISMU administration and all its subordinates are bound by this constitutional revolution.
5. To enforce the constitutional revolution (law-&-order change) in the ISMU, I have made the necessary preparations. I have informed the Dhanbad police and also the Security Officer of the ISMU, and I have also made that information public. As a precautionary measure, I have dismissed/relieved all working security guards and taken steps for the appointment of new security guards. Further, if there will be any relaxation on the part of the police, we have the option to use violent means against the resisters whosoever they may be. In case of occurrence of violence during my locking-up demonstration, it is for the resisters as well as the police to explain to court how they are competent to go against the provisions of the Constitution of India and frustrate my locking-up demonstration.
6. Know further that it is not open to the public and the police to question a constitutional revolution (law-&-order change). It is only for the affected authority (disciplinary authority) to do so and that too only after holding the verification test. Therefore, insist on the ISMU administration to hold the verification test of this extraordinary claim otherwise accept it. Be disciplined and refrain from questioning the revolutionary researcher, Dr. Surendra. How is a layperson competent to question the expert ? Moreover, the claim of constitutional revolution is verified, not publicly debated.
7. All those who are interested in knowing more about this constitutional revolution are advised to read my four crucial papers/pages, namely, (1) Settle the Controversy stated below and get rid of terrorism and violence from India; (2) A Note to All Mumbai-based NGOs serving the cause of Human Rights, Peace, RTI and Secularism; (3) To examine an innovative research of your concern and (4) To recognize me as Chairman of ISM University.